



Offshore Oil and Gas Production Technologies: Innovations, Challenges, and Impacts on Coastal Communities

Javier Gelvan Lebang^{1*}, Kaleb Sagas Duma²

¹Global Scholarly Research, Indonesia

²Universitas Pattimura, Indonesia

Abstract: The offshore oil and gas industry has experienced rapid technological developments in recent decades, ranging from conventional platforms to advanced floating production systems such as Floating Production Storage and Offloading (FPSO), subsea production systems, and Internet of Things (IoT)-based digitalization technology for real-time monitoring of wells. These innovations aim to improve the efficiency of hydrocarbon exploration and production in deep and ultra-deep waters, while reducing operational risks and environmental impacts. However, behind these technological advancements, there are various significant challenges, both from technical aspects such as engineering complexity and high investment costs, as well as non-technical aspects such as occupational safety risks, potential marine pollution, and conflicts in the use of marine space. This research aims to examine the development of offshore oil and gas production technology and analyze its impact on the socio-economic lives of coastal communities, especially fishermen and communities that depend on marine resources. The method used is a literature review that analyzes various scientific sources, industry reports, and case studies related to offshore operations. The results of the study show that although offshore production technology contributes greatly to the economy through job creation and regional income, its existence also raises social implications such as changes in livelihood patterns, potential degradation of marine ecosystems, and shifts in the social structure of coastal communities. Therefore, a sustainable development approach that integrates technological innovation with social and environmental responsibility is needed so that the benefits of the offshore oil and gas industry can be felt fairly by the surrounding community.

Keywords: Offshore Technology; Oil and Gas Production; Social Impact; Coastal Communities; Sustainability

1. Introduction

The oil and gas industry is one of the strategic sectors that plays an important role in the global economy, including Indonesia as one of the countries with significant hydrocarbon reserves in offshore waters. Along with the depletion of onshore oil and gas reserves, exploration and exploitation are shifting to offshore areas, even to deepwater and ultra-deep waters. This shift has led to the birth of various offshore production technology innovations, such as floating platforms, Floating Production Storage and Offloading (FPSO), subsea production systems, to Internet of Things (IoT)-based digitalization systems that allow real-time monitoring of wells. This technological development is key to answering geological and hydrodynamic challenges that are much more complex than onshore operations.

Although these technological innovations provide many advantages in terms of production efficiency and reduction of operational risks, their application in the field cannot be separated from various challenges. These challenges include technical aspects, such as high engineering complexity, large capital investments, and the risk of structural failure due to extreme marine environmental conditions. On the other hand, there are also non-technical challenges that are no less important, namely the potential for marine pollution due to oil spills, disturbances to marine ecosystems, and occupational safety risks for offshore workers. The complexity of these challenges requires a management approach that is not only oriented to technical-economic aspects, but also

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: gelvanindsulseltator@e-mail.com (J. G. Lebang).

<https://doi.org/10.20956>

Received: 2026-05-22; Accepted: 2026-08-21; Published: 2026-08-31;

Journal of Offshore Production Technology and Society | ISSN: -

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

pays attention to environmental and social sustainability aspects [1].

The existence of offshore oil and gas production facilities directly or indirectly affects the lives of coastal communities living around the operating area. Coastal communities, especially traditional fishermen, are highly dependent on marine resources as their main livelihood. Offshore oil and gas exploration and production activities have the potential to change people's access patterns to fishing areas, trigger conflicts in the use of marine space, and cause socio-economic impacts both positive (such as job openings and increased regional income) and negative impacts (such as environmental degradation and shifts in the social structure of the community). Thus, the relationship between the advancement of offshore production technology and the social welfare of coastal communities is an important issue to be studied in depth.

Based on this description, this study aims to examine the development and innovation of offshore oil and gas production technology, identify various challenges faced in its application, and analyze its impact on the socio-economic life of coastal communities. Through this study, it is hoped that a comprehensive understanding of how technological innovation can be aligned with the principles of social responsibility and environmental sustainability, so that the benefits of the offshore oil and gas industry can be felt fairly and equitably, not only by companies and the state, but also by coastal communities in the directly affected areas.

2. Discussion of the Main Problem

2.1. Technological Innovation as an Answer to Increasingly Complex Needs

If we trace the logic behind the development of offshore oil and gas production technology, it all starts from one fundamental problem: oil and gas reserves on land are depleting, while energy demand continues to increase. This condition forces humans to "search deeper and deeper" into the middle of the sea, even to a depth of thousands of meters below the surface of the water. However, the farther and deeper an exploration site is, the greater the technical challenges faced high water pressure, extreme waves, and even farther away from land for logistics distribution.

This is where technologies such as FPSO (Floating Production Storage and Offloading) and subsea production systems were born. Interestingly, if we think about it in chronological terms, these technologies are actually a form of human adaptation to the limitations of nature: since it is impossible to build a fixed platform in a very deep sea, floating systems are created that can adapt to the movement of water. Likewise, the presence of IoT-based digitalization systems – this comes about because of the human limitations to constantly monitor the condition of wells manually in dangerous and hard-to-reach locations. In other words, every offshore technology innovation is actually a logical answer to one question: "How do we stay productive in an environment full of limitations and risks?" [2].

2.2. When Technical Solutions Give Birth to New Problems

However, a clear human mindset will soon realize one thing: every technological solution almost always brings new and unexpected consequences. The more sophisticated a system is, the more complex the risk of failure. For example, subsea technology capable of operating at extreme depths creates a new challenge in terms of maintenance how do you repair equipment deep on the seabed in the event of a breakdown? This kind of question then prompted the emergence of underwater robotic (ROV) technology, which is once again a solution to a problem born from the previous solution.

This kind of thinking is important to understand because it shows that offshore technology is not static and perfect, but rather a long series of human efforts to continuously improve the shortcomings that arise one after another. On the other hand, there are also challenges that cannot be solved purely with technology, namely economic and environmental challenges. Investing in offshore technology requires enormous costs, so companies are often faced with a dilemma: do they still prioritize high safety and environmental standards, or do they reduce costs for the sake of project sustainability? This kind of dilemma is often at the root of environmental incidents such as oil spills [3].

2.3. From Technical Issues to Human Issues: Coastal Communities as Affected Parties

This is where our mindset needs to shift from simply seeing technology as an engineering issue, to seeing it as a problem that directly touches human life. If we position ourselves as a fisherman living in a coastal area near the location of offshore oil and gas operations, then the presence of these large platforms is not just a symbol of technological progress, but a real change in daily life. Fishing areas that were once freely accessible may now be restricted for operational safety reasons. Marine ecosystems, which are the source of livelihood, have the potential to be disrupted by drilling activities and pollution risks.

Interestingly, this impact is not always absolutely negative. There are also positive sides, such as the opening of

new jobs, both directly in the oil and gas industry and indirectly through supporting sectors such as transportation and logistics. However, if examined more deeply, inequality often occurs: large economic benefits are enjoyed more by companies and skilled workers from outside the region, while environmental and social risks are borne more by local communities who daily coexist with the sea. An honest mindset will recognize that this is a form of structural injustice that often goes unnoticed in the grand narrative of "technological progress" [4].

2.4. Finding Common Ground: Technology That Favors People and the Environment

From the above series of thoughts, it can be drawn that the main problem does not lie in the technology itself, but in how it is managed and who is a priority in its management. No matter how sophisticated a technology is, it will become problematic if its implementation ignores aspects of environmental sustainability and social justice. On the other hand, the same technology can be a sustainable solution if it is accompanied by transparent governance, active involvement of coastal communities in the decision-making process, and real commitment from companies through sustainable social responsibility (CSR) programs not just formalities.

Thus, the main problem in the offshore oil and gas production industry is how to balance three interests that are often in the hands of the people: the economic interests of companies and states, the need for technological innovation for production efficiency, and the right of coastal communities to live a decent and sustainable life on land and sea that has been part of their identity for generations [5].

3. Review and Criticism of Existing Approaches

If we look at how the offshore oil and gas industry has been dealing with technology issues and their impact on society, the most commonly used mindset is a technocentric approach believing that every problem can be solved by adding a new layer of technology. When there is a risk of leakage, the solution is more sophisticated sensors. When structural damage occurs, the solution is stronger materials. This kind of mindset is not entirely wrong, as it has been proven to reduce the number of work accidents and increase production efficiency. However, if we dig deeper, this approach has a fundamental weakness: it tends to view coastal communities as "outside the system", rather than as part of the planning process. As a result, technology continues to evolve rapidly, but the gap between industry and society is widening [6].

The second approach that is often used is the regulative-administrative approach, where the government sets safety standards, emission limits, and CSR obligations as a condition of operation. This way of thinking makes sense because it provides legal certainty and clear limits for companies. However, if we position ourselves as a critical observer, this approach often stops at administrative compliance alone companies fulfill CSR reports on paper, but their implementation in the field does not really touch the root of the problems of coastal communities. CSR programs, for example, are often designed on a top-down basis without involving communities in determining their real needs, so the assistance provided is often not on target or only temporary, such as basic food assistance, without touching on structural issues such as loss of access to fishing areas [7].

There is also a utilitarian economic approach that assesses the success of offshore oil and gas projects solely by numbers: how much it contributes to GDP, how many jobs are created, and how much state revenue comes from this sector. This way of thinking, although logical from a macroeconomic point of view, actually has a fairly fundamental weakness if we try to think from the point of view of the people who are directly affected. Large numbers at the national level do not necessarily reflect real conditions at the local level. A fisherman who loses part of his catchment area will not feel represented by national economic growth statistics, because what he feels is a direct decline in his daily income. This is where the main criticism of the economic-utilitarian approach lies: it ignores the dimension of distributive justice, namely who really enjoys the benefits and who bears the risks.

Of these three approaches, if we draw the common thread with a collapsed thought pattern, it is clear that the main weakness lies in a partial perspective each approach focuses only on one dimension: technology, regulation, or the economy, without really integrating all three with the socio-cultural dimensions of coastal communities. In fact, if we think more comprehensively as humans usually look at the problems of daily life, a good decision should consider all parties involved and affected at the same time, not solve one aspect at the expense of another. This criticism is an important basis for formulating a more participatory and equitable approach in the next section of discussion [8].

If viewed from these conditions, the problem of the offshore oil and gas industry is not only about how companies maintain the safety of facilities or increase production. There is another issue that is often not paid attention to, namely how the existence of the industry affects the lives of people who have depended on the sea since the beginning. Fishermen, for example, can feel the impact when part of the sea area that is usually used for fishing has to share space with industrial activities. For companies, these changes may only be

considered part of operational activities, but for fishermen, small changes in the fishing area can have a direct effect on income and daily life. This shows that the impact of a project is not enough to see from the company's side, but also needs to be seen from the experience of the people around it.

The community needs to be given space to convey their conditions and needs from the planning stage. That way, the decisions taken are not only based on technical and economic calculations, but also consider the real situation on the ground. Coastal communities basically have knowledge about environmental conditions and marine areas that they use daily. This knowledge can be an important consideration for companies and governments in determining policies. With more open communication and involvement, the interests of industry and society should not always be seen as two opposing things, but a middle ground can be found that still pays attention to the safety, environmental sustainability, and life of coastal communities [9].

4. The Author's Perspective or Argument

After exploring the various approaches that exist and their weaknesses, the author is of the view that the real root of the problem lies not in the offshore oil and gas production technology itself, but in the way of thinking used in designing and implementing it. So far, technology has often been positioned as the final goal the more sophisticated a system is, the more successful a project is considered. In fact, if we think reasonably as humans generally judge the success of something, technology should only be a means, while the end goal is the common welfare, both for companies, countries, and coastal communities. The author also believes that the inequality between the benefits of technology and its impact on society is actually not something that is inevitable, but a consequence of decisions taken from the early stages of planning. If coastal communities are involved as part of the decision-making process not just as an object of socialization at the end of the project then it is likely that conflicts in the use of marine space and gaps in economic benefits can be minimized, as is the general human mindset: the earlier all parties are involved in the discussion, the less potential conflicts arise later.

Furthermore, the author believes that the relationship between technological innovation and social impact should not be seen as a trade-off, but can be directed to a mutually reinforcing relationship, as long as the approach used is inclusive from the beginning. For example, IoT-based digitalization technology that has been used for monitoring wells can actually also be used to monitor the condition of the marine environment in real-time and share data transparently with coastal communities, so that technology that was originally only oriented towards production efficiency can also be an instrument of building trust between companies and communities. Based on this thought, the author argues that the sustainability of the offshore oil and gas industry in the future is not only measured by technological sophistication or the amount of economic contribution alone, but also by the extent to which coastal communities feel that they are a valued and benefiting part of the existence of the industry not just a party that has to bear risks for the sake of greater interests.

5. Conclusion

If we draw a common thread from the entire set of thought that has been described, it can be understood that the development of offshore oil and gas production technology was actually born out of a very human thought process: when faced with limited resources on land, humans seek a way out by reaching deeper and deeper territories. This is where innovation after innovation is born FPSOs, subsea production systems, and IoT-based digitalization each of which is a logical answer to a problem that has arisen before. However, as a clear human mindset will soon realize, every technical solution created almost always brings new and unexpected problems, from the complexity of maintenance in the depths of the ocean to the dilemma between safety and cost efficiency. It is at this point that we understand that technology is not something final and perfect, but rather a continuous process of human efforts to fix the shortcomings that arise one after another.

When we expand this mindset from just a technical problem to a problem that touches human life, it becomes clear that coastal communities especially traditional fishermen are the ones who feel the real consequences of the presence of this industry, both in terms of economic benefits and social and environmental risks. Unfortunately, a review of the approaches that have been used, both technocentric, regulative-administrative, and economic-utilitarian, show the same weakness: each focuses only on one dimension of the problem without really placing people in this case coastal communities as equal parts of the decision-making process. In fact, just as humans usually solve problems together in their daily lives, good decisions should be born from thorough consideration and involve all interested parties from the beginning, not solving one aspect at the expense of another.

Therefore, it can be concluded that the main problem in the offshore oil and gas production industry does

not lie in the technology itself, but in the way of thinking that underlies its management. Technology should be positioned as a means to achieve common prosperity, not as a stand-alone end goal. The inequality between the great economic benefits for companies and the state and the risks that coastal communities must bear is not inevitable, but the result of decisions taken from the planning stage and therefore can be corrected if the community is involved earlier and more sincerely, not just as an object of socialization at the end of the project. The relationship between technological innovation and social impact should not be seen as a mutually reinforcing relationship, but can be directed to a mutually reinforcing relationship, as exemplified through the use of digital technology for environmental transparency that at the same time builds trust between companies and the community.

Thus, the sustainability of the offshore oil and gas industry in the future should no longer be measured solely by the sophistication of the technology used or the magnitude of the economic contribution produced, but also by the extent to which coastal communities feel valued, involved, and fairly benefited from the existence of the industry. This is the essence of a complete human mindset: that true progress is not only about how far and how deeply humans are able to reach natural resources, but also how much that progress is able to glorify the lives of other human beings around them.

References

- [1] D.-S. Xu, J. Yang, J. Fan, and Y. Zhou, "A critical review on deep-water oil and gas development equipment research and application: Floating platform, mooring cable and pile foundation," *Pet. Sci.*, 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.petsci.2026.03.009.
- [2] D. D. Jambol, "Technological innovations and optimized work methods in subsea maintenance and production," *Eng. Sci. Technol. J.*, 2024, doi: 10.51594/estj.v5i5.1112.
- [3] D. C. Wegner, "A review on the advances in underwater inspection of subsea infrastructure: Tools, technologies, and applications," *Common. Phys. Sci.*, 2025, doi: 10.4314/cps.v12i5.15.
- [4] N. Andrews *et al.*, "Oil, fisheries and coastal communities: A review of impacts on the environment, livelihoods, space and governance," *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 75, p. 102009, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.erss.2021.102009.
- [5] N. Bennett, J. Blythe, C. White, and C. Campero, "Blue growth and blue justice: Ten risks and solutions for the ocean economy," *Mar. Policy*, vol. 125, p. 104387, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2020.104387.
- [6] M. Stoddart and B. Burt, "Energy justice and offshore oil: weighing environmental risk and privilege in the North Atlantic," *Approximately. Sociol.*, vol. 6, pp. 390–402, 2020, doi: 10.1080/23251042.2020.1782026.
- [7] A. Mohammed, F. Tuokuu, and E. Adda, "Livelihood access and challenges of coastal communities: insights from Ghana," *J. Glob. Responsib.*, 2023, doi: 10.1108/jgr-02-2022-0017.
- [8] M. Fry and A. Hilburn, "The Distributional Justice of Oil Industry Social Development Projects and Oil Field Production Activities," *Extr. Ind. Soc.*, vol. 7, pp. 647–659, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.exis.2020.03.017.
- [9] V. L. Owusu, E. T. Lawer, M. Adjei, and M. Ogbe, "Impact of offshore petroleum extraction and 'ocean grabbing' on small-scale fisheries and coastal livelihoods in Ghana," *Marit. Stud.*, vol. 22, pp. 1–14, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s40152-023-00307-3.