



Unmanned Offshore Production Technology: Safety Opportunities and Social Consequences

Willis Saputra Sukijan^{1*}, Ingrid Bela²

¹Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

²Bosowa University, Indonesia

Abstract: The development of automation, artificial intelligence, digital sensors, and remote control systems is driving the adoption of unmanned offshore production facilities. These technologies offer opportunities to reduce workers' exposure to explosions, fires, gas leaks, extreme weather, and marine and air transportation accidents. In addition to improving safety, unmanned facilities can also reduce logistics needs and support operations in locations far from land. However, reducing the workforce at a facility does not necessarily eliminate risk. These risks can move to digital systems, ground control centers, maintenance activities, and cybersecurity. Automation also brings social consequences in the form of changing job types, new skill demands, reduced number of operational positions, and potential inequality of access to high-skilled jobs. This perspective article discusses the safety opportunities and social consequences of unmanned offshore production technologies, while criticizing an overly efficiency-centric approach. The authors argue that unmanned facilities should be developed as a system of collaboration between humans and technology. Its success is not only judged on the basis of personnel and cost reductions, but also on the level of reliability, emergency preparedness, data security, and the industry's ability to manage the workforce transition fairly.

Keywords: Unmanned Facilities; Offshore Production; Automation; Occupational Safety; Workforce Transformation

1. Introduction

Offshore production activities take place in environments that have a high level of risk. Workers have to deal with extreme weather, limited workspace, high-pressure equipment, flammable substances, and locations far from emergency response services. Mobilization by ship or helicopter also adds to risks not always found in industrial activities on land.

Advances in remote control systems and digital technology have allowed some production functions to be performed without permanently placing workers in the facility. Operations can be monitored from a control center on the ground, while inspections are carried out using sensors, cameras, robots, and unmanned vehicles. The concept is beginning to change the way industries view the relationship between safety, productivity, and labor [1].

Despite offering obvious benefits, unmanned facilities cannot be understood simply as replacing humans with machines. These changes pose new risks and affect the structure of work in the offshore industry. Therefore, their implementation needs to be judged not only by its technical and economic success, but also by its impact on the workers and communities that depend on the activities of the industry [2].

2. Materials and Methods

The main attraction of unmanned production facilities is the reduced direct exposure of workers to hazards at sea. When the number of personnel in the facility is reduced, the risk of casualties from fires, explosions, toxic gas leaks, structural accidents, and evacuation in bad weather can also be reduced. The frequency of travel using helicopters and support ships can be reduced, so that the risk during mobilization is also reduced [3].

This condition also affects the design of the facility. Platforms without permanent accommodation do not

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: willissukijan@gmail.com (W. S. Sukijan).

<https://doi.org/10.20956>

Received: 2026-05-23; Accepted: 2026-08-21; Published: 2026-08-31;

Journal of Offshore Production Technology and Society | ISSN: -

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

require as much living space, consumption facilities, or life support systems as conventional platforms. Structures can be made simpler and lighter. In certain circumstances, such simplification reduces the amount of equipment that must be inspected and maintained. However, this advantage can only be achieved if the automation system has a high level of reliability.

The operation of an unmanned facility relies heavily on sensors, communication systems, software, and control networks. The sensor must be able to provide information regarding pressure, temperature, flow rate, vibration, leakage, and equipment condition. The data is sent to the control center so that the operator can make decisions remotely. If the sensor is damaged or the communication network is disconnected, the operator may lose an actual picture of the condition of the facility [4].

Problems become more serious when an emergency occurs. On a manned platform, operators can observe the situation directly and perform certain actions that are not yet fully capable of being performed by automated systems. In unmanned facilities, response depends on the system's ability to detect disturbances, isolate sources of hazards, and safely terminate operations. Technical teams may need to be dispatched to the site if remote handling is unsuccessful. Travel time to the facility then becomes an important factor in Risk Control [5].

Reliance on digital technology also increases cybersecurity threats. Disruptions to communication or control systems can lead to data reading errors, changes in operating parameters, and even production stoppages. These threats do not always come from intentional attacks. Misconfigurations, unupdated software, and integration failures between systems can also have a major impact [6].

Beyond the technical aspects, the most noticeable changes are happening in the workforce. The need for some routine jobs on the platform may decrease, while the demand for control center operators, data analysts, automation technicians, instrumentation experts, and cybersecurity specialists is increasing. This means that automation does not simply reduce the number of jobs, but rather changes the types of skills required. Workers who do not have training opportunities may find it difficult to move into new positions [7].

This impact can extend to the communities around the operating area. Reduced number of workers rotating to facilities may affect transportation services, lodging, food providers, and other support services [8]. Therefore, the economic benefits of automation need to be seen along with changes to the supply chain that has been growing around the offshore industry.

3. Results

An approach to developing unmanned facilities generally focuses on three goals: reducing personnel, reducing operating costs, and increasing efficiency. All three goals are important, but they can result in unbalanced decisions if the number of workers is treated as a burden to be reduced as much as possible [9]. Personnel reductions should be the result of safer design, not the only measure of success.

Existing technical approaches also tend to assume that the installation of more sensors will always improve safety. In reality, the abundance of data does not guarantee the availability of useful information. Sensors can be subject to irregularities, damage, or provide false warnings. Control center operators also have the potential to experience an excessive information overload. If too many alarms appear simultaneously, the early signs of an actual disturbance can be missed [10].

Predictive maintenance and *digital twin* It is often offered as a solution to estimate damage before failure occurs. This approach is useful, but the results depend on the quality of the data and the model's suitability for facility conditions. Changes in the marine environment, age of equipment, and unprecedented damage mechanisms can limit predictive capabilities [11]. Digital models still require physical inspection to ensure that the actual conditions match the calculation results.

Automated systems are also often designed based on the assumption that humans are only needed when technology fails. This view is inaccurate because humans remain responsible for interpreting information, making decisions in uncertain situations, and managing unprogrammed conditions into the system. The problem is that operators in control centers may experience a decrease in situational awareness because they are not directly in the facility. Physical distance can make some important signs, such as sounds, smells, or changes in environmental conditions, unidentified [12].

From a social perspective, retraining programs are often only discussed after the technology is ready to be implemented. As a result, workers must adapt in a short period of time to different competency needs. Not all workers have the same educational background or access. If this transition is not planned from the start, automation can widen the gap between the workforce that is digitally tech-savvy and those who have field experience but limited digital skills [13].

4. Discussion

The author views that unmanned technology should not be directed at the complete elimination of human roles. The main goal should be to move humans from dangerous, repetitive, and non-ergonomic work to tasks that require judgment, experience, and decision-making skills. [14]. Thus, automation is used to strengthen worker safety and capabilities, not simply replace them.

The deployment of unmanned facilities needs to be phased out based on the level of risk and complexity of the operation. Not all facilities are suitable for operation without personnel. Installations that are simple, have a stable process, and can be safely terminated remotely may be more appropriate than facilities with complex processes and high intervention requirements. Before implementation, a study should be available that considers the reliability of the equipment, distance to the site, weather conditions, communication capacity, and response time of the emergency team [15].

The concept of safety also needs to be expanded. Safety is not enough to be measured through a reduction in the number of accidents on the platform, as risks can move to maintenance work, control centers, or digital systems. Visits to unmanned facilities can have even higher risks if the damage has progressed as long as there are no personnel on site. Therefore, risk-based inspections, condition monitoring, and visit procedures must be structured as a single system that supports each other [16].

Cybersecurity should be placed on par with process safety. Network separation, access rights control, communication protection, software updates, and provision of backup systems need to be designed from the outset. Facilities should also have the ability to transition to a secure state when communication with the control center is lost. Sophisticated systems that fail safely can create new vulnerabilities [17].

In terms of manpower, the industry needs to prepare a skilling program before making personnel reductions. The experience of field workers has great value because they understand the behavior of equipment that is not always recorded in the data. The knowledge can be used to train remote operators, build prediction models, as well as improve system design. In this way, digital transformation does not eliminate old experiences, but rather combines them with new competencies [18].

The success of unmanned technology must ultimately be judged through broader measures: how much hazard exposure is successfully reduced, how quickly the system responds to disruptions, how reliable production is maintained, and the extent to which workers can adapt fairly. Economic efficiency remains important, but it should not be achieved by shifting risk to other workers or ignoring social impacts in the area of operation [19].

5. Conclusion

Unmanned offshore production technology provides a great opportunity to reduce workers' exposure to operational and transportation hazards. Remote control systems, digital sensors, inspection robots, and predictive maintenance allow facilities to be monitored more efficiently. Designs without permanent accommodations can also simplify facilities and reduce logistics requirements.

However, the reduced number of workers on the platform does not mean that all risks have disappeared. Some of the risk shifts to communication systems, software, cybersecurity, control centers, and maintenance activities. Sensor failures, loss of connections, automated decision errors, and delays in intervention teams can create new problems. In addition, changing skills requirements can affect workers and communities that depend on offshore industrial activities.

Therefore, unmanned facilities need to be developed through an approach that puts people and technology as one. Automation should be used to reduce hazardous work, while humans still have a role to play in surveillance, risk assessment, and decision-making. When supported by reliable systems, cybersecurity, emergency response procedures, and equitable workforce transition programs, unmanned technology can be an important step toward safer and more responsible offshore production.

References

- [1] A. Nasser, A. Sahrab, and H. Kadhim, "Design and simulation of remote monitoring of the intelligent automatic control system in the production line," *IAES Int. J. Artif. Intell.*, 2024, doi: 10.11591/ijai.v13.i1.pp133-142.
- [2] T. Wanasinghe, R. Gosine, B. Petersen, and P. Warrian, "Digitalization and the Future of Employment: A Case Study on the Canadian Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling Occupations," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 21, pp. 1661–1681, 2024, doi: 10.1109/tase.2023.3238971.

- [3] A. Mahat, M. Z. M. Salleh, and M. Takriff, "Drone Technology Improves Personnel Safety in Offshore Working Environment Via Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA) Analysis," *J. Engineer.*, 2025, doi: 10.17576/jkukm-2025-37(5)-16.
- [4] Q. Liu, Y. Wang, F. Zhao, C. Zheng, and J. Xie, "A Review of the Research Progress of Sensor Monitoring Technology in Harsh Engineering Environments," *Sensors (Basel)*, vol. 25, 2025, doi: 10.3390/s25206308.
- [5] J. Vinnem, "Assessment of risk tolerance for future autonomous offshore installations," *Saf. Sci.*, vol. 134, p. 105059, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ssci.2020.105059.
- [6] M. Sukharev and M. Chupin, "Devices operability control through gas supply system mode parameter routine measurement history," *J. Process Control*, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jprocont.2022.11.003.
- [7] S. Waschull, J. A. C. Bokhorst, E. Molleman, and H. Wortmann, "Work design in future industrial production: Transforming towards cyber-physical systems," *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 139, p. 105679, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.cie.2019.01.053.
- [8] T. Malone, A. Planey, L. Bozovich, K. Thompson, and G. Holmes, "The economic effects of rural hospital closures.," *Health Serv. Res.*, 2022, doi: 10.1111/1475-6773.13965.
- [9] R. Godavarthi and A. Leto, "Advanced Digital Solutions for Remote Operations and Minimally Staffed Facilities," *Day 1 Mon, May 01, 2023*, 2023, doi: 10.4043/32630-ms.
- [10] H. Belgacem and I. Chihi, "Toward Reliable and Intelligent Sensor Systems: A Comprehensive Study of Fault Diagnosis and Mitigation," *IEEE Sensors Rev.*, vol. 2, pp. 511–536, 2025, doi: 10.1109/sr.2025.3601092.
- [11] B. Tekinerdoğan and Ç. Çatal, "Predictive maintenance using digital twins: A systematic literature review," *Inf. Softw. Technol.*, vol. 151, p. 107008, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.infsof.2022.107008.
- [12] N. Griffiths, V. Bowden, S. Wee, L. Strickland, and S. Loft, "Operator selection for human-automation teaming: The role of manual task skill in predicting automation failure intervention.," *Appl. Ergon.*, vol. 118, p. 104288, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.apergo.2024.104288.
- [13] E. Tenakwah and C. Watson, "Embracing the AI/automation age: preparing your workforce for humans and machines working together," *Strateg. Leadersh.*, 2024, doi: 10.1108/SL-05-2024-0040.
- [14] P. Kolapo, N. Ogunsola, K. Komolafe, and D. Omole, "Envisioning Human–Machine Relationship Towards Mining of the Future: An Overview," *Mining*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/mining5010005.
- [15] F. Borghesan, M. Zagorowska, and M. Mercangöz, "Unmanned and Autonomous Systems: Future of Automation in Process and Energy Industries," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2022.07.555.
- [16] Q. Qiu, R. Li, and X. Zhao, "Failure risk management: adaptive performance control and mission abort decisions," *Risk Anal.*, vol. 45, pp. 421–440, 2024, doi: 10.1111/risa.16709.
- [17] S. Yuan, M. Yang, and G. Reniers, "Integrated process safety and process security risk assessment of industrial cyber-physical systems in chemical plants," *Comput. Ind.*, vol. 155, p. 104056, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.compind.2023.104056.
- [18] M. Bouwmans, X. Lub, M. Orlowski, and T.-V. Nguyen, "Developing the digital transformation skills framework: A systematic literature review approach," *PLoS One*, vol. 19, 2024, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0304127.
- [19] I. Jeelani and M. Gheisari, "Safety challenges of UAV integration in construction: Conceptual analysis and future research roadmap," *Saf. Sci.*, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105473.